

Chapter Seven

A map of the Los Angeles metropolitan area divided into 10 numbered regions. The regions are: 1 (West Los Angeles), 2 (San Fernando Valley), 3 (Downtown Los Angeles), 4 (North Hollywood), 5 (Burbank), 6 (Pasadena), 7 (Glendale), 8 (Antelope Valley), 9 (Long Beach), and 10 (San Pedro Bay). Major highways shown include SR 280, SR 5, SR 101, SR 10, SR 60, and SR 805. The map also shows the Los Angeles River and the Pacific Ocean.

CHAPTER SEVEN | CASES BY COUNCIL DISTRICT

I. Cases by Council District in 2002

In this chapter, the charts show cases, complaints, and allegations filed in the ten city council districts. Illustration A lists each council district and the types of cases that were handled in each district. A council district indicates the location where the incident occurred and not necessarily where the complainant resides. The term Unknown/Outside City Limits means that the location of the incident could not be identified, or the incident did not occur within the San José city limits. The top row in Illustration A lists the abbreviation of the type of cases filed: Formal (CI, DI, CR, PO, PR), Case Withdrawn, Inquiry (IQ), and Citizen Contact (CC).

Illustration A shows not just the number of complaints, but all the citizen contacts received from January 1 through December 31, 2002. Typically, the highest number of cases (162 or 38% of all complaints) were generated in District 3, largely because of the diverse activities generated in the downtown area. District 6, a neighboring district, has the second highest number of cases (39).

II. Five Years Comparison

Illustration B shows a comparative five-year analysis of all cases by Council District. These cases include all citizen contacts and complaints. The time period is January 1 through December 31 for the years 1998 through 2002. The five-year analysis indicates that between 2001 and 2002, the number of citizen contacts decreased by 7%.

Illustration A: Cases By Council District

Council District	Formal	CW	IQ	CC	Total Cases
District 1	3	2	5	1	11
District 2	21	4	8	1	34
District 3	106	12	30	14	162
District 4	5	2	9	1	17
District 5	20	5	10	2	37
District 6	23	4	10	2	39
District 7	19	5	13	0	37
District 8	14	2	9	0	25
District 9	11	1	3	3	18
District 10	4	4	7	0	15
Unknown/Outside City Limit	6	2	17	10	52
Total Cases Received	232	43	121	34	430

CHAPTER SEVEN | CASES BY COUNCIL DISTRICT

Illustration B: Five-Years View of Cases By Council District

Council District	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
District 1	13	26	26	17	11
District 2	21	49	45	21	34
District 3	131	240	198	132	162
District 4	16	44	29	21	17
District 5	29	79	77	46	37
District 6	26	49	67	45	39
District 7	39	55	61	35	37
District 8	23	41	41	22	25
District 9	19	26	28	28	18
District 10	15	42	40	18	15
Unknown/Outside City Limit	32	65	82	76	35
Total Cases Received	364	716	694	461	430

III. Unnecessary Force Allegations by Council District

Illustration C shows the distribution of Unnecessary Force allegations for each Council District. These are allegations from formal complaints only. The highest count was in District 3. The distribution of this allegation category is consistent with their distribution of all cases received.

Illustration C: Unnecessary Force Allegations of Formal Complaints

Council District	Number of UF	%
District 1	0	0%
District 2	6	7%
District 3	25	28%
District 4	5	6%
District 5	13	15%
District 6	9	10%
District 7	9	10%
District 8	3	3%
District 9	7	8%
District 10	0	0%
Unknown/Outside City Limit	11	13%
Total UF Allegations	88	100%